

Foundational Issues: Still Meaningful

UniLog'2018
Logic for Dynamic Real World Information
Vichy, France

David McGoveran
Monday June 25, 2018 8:45-930 AM



Alternative Technologies

POB 4699

Deerfield Beach, FL 33442

Vmail: (831) 338-4621

Email: mcgoveran@AlternativeTech.com

Web: www.AlternativeTech.com

Nature of This Talk

- **ESSENTIALLY INFORMAL**
 - Formal issues will be raised, but ignoring lots of detail
- **SURVEY**
 - Logic and Semantics of Software Systems
 - Design and Implementation Issues
 - Unanticipated “Failures”
 - Examples from Experience



Software Engineering: Radical View?

- **Identify subject system (physical world?)**
- **Analyze elements: entities, properties, relationships, transformations, and processes**
- **Select a sufficiently expressive formal (logical, deductive) system**
- **Choose symbolic vocabulary – logical (formal operations) vs. nonlogical (arguments)**
- **Map elements to symbols**
 - **transforms and processes → deductions or algorithms using formal operations**
 - **entities, properties, relationships → nonlogical symbols or data structures**



Decision Procedures

- **Program Logic** - if then else, while, etc.
 - partitions the representational space into disjoint regions
- **Querying databases**
 - DBMS based on formal deductive system such as relational
- **Informational Systems**
 - e.g., reporting, analytical, decision support
- **Advisory Systems**
 - e.g., recommenders, business intelligence systems
- **Semi-automated Systems**
 - e.g., automobile cruise control and braking
- **Fully automated Systems**
 - e.g., factory robots, trading systems, autopilots (air and car)



Decision Procedures

- **Intelligent/Adaptive (AI/ML)**
 - classifiers, recognizers, planners, etc.
 - dominant methods: neural networks vs. statistical learning
- **Semantic Representation Issues Matter**
 - feature selection
 - dimensionality
 - convergence
 - number of independent random variables
 - probability distributions (discrete? quantum?)
 - continuity



Semantics: Interpretations

- **Subject/Intrinsic Interpretation** – usually informal
 - finite vs. infinite (round trip floating point rounding errors?)
 - continuous vs. discrete
 - bounded vs. unbounded
- **Canonical Interpretation** – formal/internal
 - The interpretation the logical system is meant to represent
 - An interpretation is “permissible” if consistent with canonical
- **Expected Interpretation**
 - What the architect/designer/developers expect (usually implicit!)
 - must be permissible
- **Realized Interpretation**
 - how the system is actually understood and used



Semantics: Representations

- **Subject System vs. Formal System**
- **Mapping – Correspondence between symbol (formal system) and meaning (subject system)**
 - **Extensional** – set of elements we “point” to
 - **Intensional** – a specification of the above set, applied to a “well-defined” universe
- **Deductive System Implementation Logic**
 - **FOL (untyped vs. typed)**
 - **Computationally/Turing Complete**
- **“Simulated” Logic**
 - **languages: natural, SQL, etc.**
 - **Propositional, FOL, SOL, Fuzzy, nVL, Modal, Probabilistic, etc.**



Foundations: How Well Defined?

(Relational Data Model Example)

- Finite, Countably Infinite, or Uncountably Infinite [**finite**]
- Untyped or Typed [**typed**]
- Truth Values
 - How many and which are designated/anti-designated [**bivalent**]
- Truth Functional
 - compound wffs evaluated by evaluating components [**evaluation is mechanical and based on data in database**]
- Theory of Truth
 - e.g., correspondence (meaning assignments) vs. coherence (truth value assignments)[**both!**]



Foundations: How Well Defined?

- **Tautologies**
 - wffs true for all permissible interpretations (e.g., $P \vee \neg P$)
[tautological query returns every accessed proposition]
- **Sound**
 - every provable (i.e., deducible) wff S is true for all permissible interpretations [every query represents a set of true and only true propositions]
- **Negation Consistent**
 - for every wff S , either S or else $\neg S$ is a theorem [a syntactically correct query and its negation have disjoint results]
- **Expressively Complete**
 - can express all of the intended subject [users can query every possible proposition about the subject]



Foundations: How Well Defined?

- **Deductively Complete**
 - every true wff S is provable [**every fact represented in the database can be accessed via a query**]
- **Decidable**
 - general algorithm to evaluate truth value of any wff [**users never write/verify a database/application specific evaluation algorithm**]
 - [**Finite FOL and Wittgenstein quantifier reduction – FOL deduction, propositional evaluation**]
- **Familiarity: Principle of Least Surprise**
 - **Example:** *The Many-valued Logic (nVL) of SQL*
 - » Can you say “complexity”?
 - » K3 fragment? (versus extension versus deviant)
 - » Inconsistent semantics: DBMS product dependent!



Interpretation by Users

- **What meanings will users give symbols?**
 - This is the realized interpretation
 - Even mnemonic text on a display does not preclude semantic misalignment
- **Are both the expected and realized interpretations permissible?**
 - Semantic consistency with the formal logical system
- **Are the realized interpretation and the expected interpretation at least compatible?**
 - How do we know?



Interacting Semantic Systems

- **Implication: Representation of one = Interpretation of other**
 - Requires mutual semantic consistency among all systems
 - Problems similar to human communication problems
 - THE problem of system integration: assumes global control
 - **Examples:** *airline systems, digital cable systems*
- **Realms of Complex System Behavior**
- **Stability - under some inputs**
- **Unpredictable/Potentially Chaotic Behavior**
 - Increases with diversity & semantically inconsistency of interacting systems
 - **Examples:** *Wall Street 10/19/1987, Drone GPS spoofing 12/4/2011*



Unexpected Semantic Systems

- **Users as “systems”**
 - Users can be understood as “systems” in their own right
 - BUT: They (may) have unstable semantics and system(s) of inference!
- **Unintended Operational Environments**
 - Input sources matter, especially when sensor-based !
- **Unanticipated Combinations**
 - **Example:** *Consider this complex, interacting combination*
 - *Self-driving cars from multiple manufacturers*
 - » *Different policies about reacting to obstacles like people*
 - *Adaptive traffic management systems in cities*
 - *Adaptive routing systems (Google maps, Trip, etc.)*



So What Can We Do?

- **Identify Logic Systems and Their Characteristics**
- **Identify Assumptions**
 - **Semantics**
 - **Axioms**
 - **Intended Operational Environment**
- **Anticipate Failure Modes**
- **Make these available for users and other decision system designers/developers**
- **Initiate Research Into Interacting Semantic Systems**
- **Establish an “Underwriter’s Laboratory”**
 - **Determine how to “label” systems with appropriate characteristics**



Questions?

Slides by Email Request

